1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9		
10	ZUNUM AERO, INC.,	CASE NO. C21-0896JLR
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER
12	V.	
13	THE BOEING COMPANY, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	Before the court is Defendants The Boeing Company and Boeing HorizonX	
16	Ventures, LLC's (together, "Boeing") motion for clarification of the court's August 14,	
17	2024 order. (Mot. (Dkt. # 750); see 8/14/24 Order (Dkt. # 744).) Plaintiff Zunum Aero,	
18	Inc. does not oppose Boeing's motion. (See generally Dkt.) The court GRANTS	
19	Boeing's motion for clarification (Dkt. # 750).	
20	In its August 14, 2024 order, the court granted Boeing's motion for judgment as a	
21	matter of law pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(b). (8/14/24 Order at 53.)	
22	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 50(c), the court now conditionally GRANTS	

1	Boeing's motion for a new trial (Dkt. # 695). As to each of the claims on which the jury	
2	found against Boeing (see generally Verdict (Dkt. ## 684 (sealed), 685 (redacted))), the	
3	court finds that the verdict is against the clear weight of the evidence, the awarded	
4	damages are excessive, and that a new trial is otherwise necessary to prevent a	
5	miscarriage of justice and undue prejudice to Boeing for the reasons discussed in the	
6	court's August 14, 2024 order and Boeing's motion for judgment as a matter of law or, in	
7	the alternative, for a new trial. See Molski v. M.J. Cable, Inc., 481 F.3d 724, 729 (9th	
8	Cir. 2007).	
9	Dated this 17th day of September, 2024.	
10	Chun R. Rlut	
11	JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		